

# AMERICA, 1968

## Why The Score Is So High

*Gary Allen, a graduate of Stanford University and one of the nation's top authorities on civil turmoil and the New Left, is author of Communist Revolution in the Streets—a highly praised and definitive new volume on revolutionary tactics and strategies, published by Western Islands. Mr. Allen is active in anti-Communist and other humanitarian causes and is President of the Foundation for Economic and Social Progress. A film writer and journalist, he is a Contributing Editor to AMERICAN OPINION. Gary Allen lectures widely.*

■ THE PAST TWELVE months might be called The Happening Year. During that period William McChesney Martin of the Federal Reserve denounced gold as a barbarous metal while nearly all that wicked metal in our possession was shipped abroad; a patriotic, near-sighted young man married Lynda Bird Johnson; Robert MacNamara's T.F.X., the miracle plane, turned out to be a winged Edsel that fell out of the sky; George Romney's brain was found and washed; the Beatles were supplanted in popularity by other assorted insects; and Bobby Kennedy appeared on TV with a golden earring in one ear and later told an audience, "The more riots that come on college campuses, the better world for tomorrow."

Yes it was a strange year alright. It was the year that copper slugs replaced silver coins, proving that Lord Keynes had not replaced Lord Gresham; that President Johnson's hair went bouffant and turned gray; that Charles Percy's daughter married a Rockefeller and

Richard Nixon's daughter became engaged to an Eisenhower; that Everett Dirksen blossomed as a singing star while continuing his job as L.B.J.'s Senate floor manager; that thousands of tons of marijuana went up in smoke as did numerous cities and draft cards; and, that after years of teaching parents how to avoid disciplining their babies, Dr. Benjamin Spock was indicted for trying to show children how to avoid military discipline.

Yes, it was a grand and glorious year for the neo-Congo racists, kooks, creeps, Communists, and other walking curios. It was also the year we were set up for an economic bust to end all busts.

### I

THE COMING economic debacle is a product of the continuing fiscal and monetary insanity of our Marxist leaders. The Federal Budget—the best single indicator of an extension or retreat of government control—has under the Kennedy-Johnson Administrations risen from \$99.5 billion to \$188.7 billion,\* a rise of eighty-eight percent. Under President Johnson alone, federal spending has grown in the last five years from \$114 billion a year to the present figure of \$188 billion, and the dizzying outlay is accelerating.

Defense spending was, of course, up from \$29.5 billion in 1961 to \$74.4 billion in 1967; but, non-defense spending

\* The figures vary slightly because of efforts by the government to hide its vast expenditures. These came from the American Tax Foundation. Please note the detailed Budget analysis by Dr. Hans F. Sennholz which begins on Page 21.



for the same period rose \$51.2 billion to \$105.8 billion. The upward bound of federal expenditures cannot therefore be blamed solely on the Vietnam War. The major increase, in fact, is in outlays for the extension of federal manipulation and control to the fields of health, Welfare, education, and related programs. These costs, alone, were up \$27.2 billion.

What is more, spending by state and local bureaucrats has since 1961 leapt from \$41.7 billion to \$72.5 billion. Federal, state, and local taxes were up by \$10 billion during the last fiscal year. Direct government spending is now \$261.2 billion, or over a quarter of a trillion dollars, with spending by public agencies now equaling nearly one-third of all expenditures, public and private, made in the United States. It all figures out to about \$3,550 in taxes for each American family in 1968—up \$134 from the previous year and nearly double the amount of ten years ago. In the past twenty years annual tax collections have increased nearly three hundred percent—all to pay for the vast extension of government control over the once private lives of individual Americans.

Meanwhile, the federal Budget has not been balanced since 1960. This year it will again project an inflationary deficit of about \$25 billion. Between mid-1960 and 1969 (by official estimates) the National Debt will have risen by \$72.6 billion, or 25.4 percent, to \$357 billion. Interest on the Debt in 1957 was about \$7 billion; it has *doubled* in the past ten years. In fact, it is now so serious a problem (\$15.2 billion for the fiscal year starting July 1, 1968) that interest on our National Debt for the new fiscal year has become the third largest item in the federal Budget, exceeded only by Defense and Welfare outlays. The costs in interest alone now run \$41 million a day. What is more, interest rates being paid on government bonds are at a forty-six year high.

Since President Johnson came to office he has added almost half a million new civilian employees to the federal payroll. Since his promise in 1965 to cut 25,000 from the civilian federal payroll, he has added 179,868 new bureaucrats. His current Budget calls for adding another 55,600, giving the federal government over 2.8 million non-military employees. (These figures do not of course include the tens of thousands indirectly employed through such boondoggles as the War on Poverty.) In addition, there are approximately 8 million persons directly employed by state and local governments—and the figure is growing. Counting military and indirect employment, more than twenty-five percent of all Americans are now working for the government. Worse, the total amount of goods and services taken off the market last year to support government schemes and collectivist enterprises amounted to more than twenty-five percent of our entire Gross National Product.

Still, our "Liberals" would have Americans believe that very little of this enormous work force, spending a full quarter of everything the nation produces, is being applied to Welfare programs to cosset the indolent, indigent, and growing army of Welfare People living fatly at the expense of the rest of us. That is simply idiotic. In the last Congress alone, thirty-six new Welfare programs were begun. During 1968 federal Welfare spending will amount to approximately \$58 billion. Some \$25.8 billion will be spent on Social Security; \$3.9 billion on Medicare; \$4.2 billion on public assistance for the "medically indigent"; \$3.4 billion on federal subsidies to insure "Liberal" education; \$1 billion on various handouts to cities and urban areas; \$1.9 billion on the "War on Poverty"; and, \$6 billion on subsidies to veterans. In addition, President Johnson has proposed a billion dollar a year raise in pay for 5.4 million government



Black Panther leader Huey Newton (C) killed Officer John Frey (L) and wounded Officer Heanes (R).

employees, a twenty-eight percent hike in "poverty" funds, and \$412 million to put up new socialist housing as a payoff for those whose little psyches have been so warped by their wicked landlords that they are driven to riot and arson in our nation's cities.

Over the past thirty years hundreds of billions have been wrung from productive Americans to be spent on Welfare at the federal, state, and local level. And, after three and a half decades of taking from those who work and giving to those who choose not to soil their dainty fingers, we are asked to believe that we have more "poverty" than ever. The "Liberal" line is that we have seventeen million poverty cases bordering on "starvation" in this country right now; during the Depression, the same "Liberals" claimed, we had sixteen million needy. Thus, within three-and-a-half decades of trying to cure poverty by spending billions of dollars on Welfare, our "Liberals" have not only accomplished nothing but added a million to the list of the "starving." What

they've actually done, of course, is to create a vast army of freeloaders which increasingly threatens to destroy the country if its booty is not increased.

In the midst of a wartime boom, with employers begging for workers, the number of those on government "relief" rolls is up fifty percent in the last ten years to nearly 10 million. Now—except as a means of extending the power of government—that is simply insane, especially when you realize that the expense of protecting these unfortunates from the horrors of work is up 119 percent. Instead of temporary aid, Welfare has been made into a permanent way of life for millions. The 75 million working people in this country are supporting their own families as well as ten million Americans who either can't or won't work. This figure, of course, excludes the government bureaucrats who also must be supported by the taxpayers while they instruct us in how to run our lives.

Poverty in America, our "Liberals" say, is simply enormous. Their rationale



for the vast Welfare spending, leniency to rioters, and relaxation of criminal codes in the face of rising crime is, however, a myth.

Professor John Parrish of the University of Illinois has recently conducted an in-depth study of poverty in the United States. His careful survey established that ninety-five percent of Americans have at least a completely adequate minimum diet, ninety-five percent of all American families have an electric or gas stove, ninety-nine percent have electric refrigerators, ninety-two percent have television sets, eighty-eight percent have telephones, ninety-eight percent are able to acquire the services of a hospital for the birth of their children, etc. Parrish discovered that "America's low income families are not being shut out, they are being pulled into affluence at an ever increasing rate." In fact, the Social Security Administration was forced to admit on May 21, 1968 that even by its own inflated "poverty" figures the number of "poor" in the United States had dropped by 9 million since 1959.

Simply stated then, this poverty business is a hoax being used by the Far Left as a rationalization for expanding government expenditures and control as a part of the Marxist package. Dr. Parrish even takes a number of his fellow professors to task for deliberately lying about the matter:

*The poverty intellectuals say they are building a great new society. Perhaps they are. But phony statistics are hardly convincing proof. Perhaps they should take a second look. They may well be rushing pell-mell toward social chaos.*

Of course, in expanding control of the economy, government programs have often cut into the efforts of business to reduce what poverty there is. In 1967, for example, the federal minimum wage

was raised from \$1.25 to \$1.40 per hour, and this year it goes up to \$1.60. This is ludicrous. If government could improve the lot of workers by legislating wages, why stop at \$1.60, why not go to \$5.00 an hour or \$50? Because legislated wages destroy jobs! According to *U.S. News & World Report*:

*Tens of thousands of workers have lost their jobs this year as a result of the latest increase in the minimum wage required by federal law. Employers of these workers say they cannot afford to pay the wage rates required for workers covered for the first time, much less the higher rates fixed for employees who have been covered all along. Still higher rates to come next year are expected to bring still more layoffs.*

These artificially high minimum wages discriminate against those the government says it wants most to help—the under-educated and under-skilled. The new minimum wage laws have, for example, caused unemployment among Negro teenagers to skyrocket, making them more susceptible to the propaganda of the Communist-led Black Nationalists. In most cases, however, once self-reliant workers are simply priced out of the market by government decree and added to the Welfare rolls. It is a monstrous system. Not only from the point of view of the federally ruined workers, but also from the viewpoint of the economy which is crippled by such tampering.

## II

THE BILLIONS upon billions now being spent for government Welfare programs have so overtaxed the economy with successive deficits that inflation is as a result nearly out of control, cheapening our dollar, making foreign goods relatively less expensive, and creating a ruinous run on our gold by foreign interests.



This is a very serious matter, for the United States has now passed the point of no return from the standpoint of a planned devaluation of the dollar, having little more than \$7 billion in gold left. The attack on our dollar by domestic Marxists spending us into uncontrolled inflation has placed us at the mercy of foreign Leftists eager to deliver the *coup de grâce*.

Last summer, with \$12 billion of net gold, we could have revalued the dollar in terms of gold in cooperation with other countries, multiplying the price by three, and thus valued our gold reserve at \$36 billion against outstanding claims of \$29 billion. Confidence in the dollar would have been unshakable. The crisis of the dollar would never have occurred; liquidity would have



Treasury Secretary  
Henry H. Fowler  
aided top Reds.

been restored; and, the world's currencies would have adjusted to the dollar in approximately their present relationship. *Even until late 1967 this sensible solution was possible. Today the opportunity is no longer available.*

Now, when the approximately \$3 billion in gold on loan from the International Monetary Fund is deducted from our total gold holdings, we have only approximately \$7 billion in gold with which to meet approximately \$35 billion in foreign claims. With the War in Vietnam promising to nudge these claims toward \$40 billion, even a reevaluation of the dollar to \$100 per ounce of gold will not get us out of our mess.

How could it happen? Because our Treasury refused to move when it was possible to do so, a matter which may have something to do with the fact that Secretary of the Treasury Henry Fowler

is not only a committed Leftist but is so loyal to the revolution in the making that he has actually been state chairman of an organization cited by both House and Senate Committees as the premier Communist Front in the South, and was (according to sworn Congressional testimony) involved with Communist spies Harry Magdoff and Irving Kaplan of the Soviet's Perlo spy ring.\* In short, people, it was no *mistake*.

In recent years our Treasury has made one move toward destruction of the American monetary system after another. The first was reducing the gold backing on money and credit within the United States to forty percent. Confidence remained, but since our gold supply continued to shrink because of massive giveaways and enormous Welfare deficits, gold backing was soon reduced to thirty-five, and later to twenty-five, percent. Then, on March 15, 1968, President Johnson signed a bill *entirely eliminating* the backing behind U.S. currency. Now, with no monetary check, the Leftists running our government can inflate to infinity—good news only for the Communists who expect to use the coming crisis to apply economic controls from which a capitalist America will not recover.

The situation is already so critical that William McChesney Martin, Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board, has announced: "We are in the midst of the worst financial crisis we have had since 1931—not a business crisis but a financial crisis."

Chairman Wilbur Mills of the House Ways and Means Committee, of course, scoffed at Martin's remarks. He claimed:

*Regardless of what any individual may say, we have the strongest economy the world has ever known. We*

\* See AMERICAN OPINION, June 1968, Page 95.



*will be well advised to focus our attention on the vigorously expanding productive power of the United States and on the increasingly efficient use of that capability, rather than on the day-to-day fluctuations of the price of gold on foreign markets.*

Mills completely misunderstood what Martin said. The Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board had maintained that we don't have a business problem, but a monetary one. Mills and those who say our productivity makes us immune from depression forget that the stock market crash of 1929 occurred when business productivity was at an all-time



Federal Reserve's  
William Martin  
predicts chaos.

high and expanding. The establishment of high interest rates following years of inflating the money supply simply triggered a financial crisis—not a business crisis. That same pattern is now being repeated as interest rates spiral upwards. This time, however, there will be no gold on hand to save us from the foreign raids that turned Germany under the Weimar Republic into an economic ruin. The bust could prove destructive beyond our worst nightmares.

Of course, following the gold rushes of December and the middle of March, representatives of the nine gold-pool nations of Western Europe met in Washington and established the two-tier gold system, abolishing the gold pool which had sold gold on the open market. Instead, a system was created whereby there would be two prices for gold; \$35 for exchanges among central bankers who agreed not to resell on the open market, and a free market price set by supply and demand. The new system,

we were told, would save us from disaster.

This two-tier price for gold was called a brilliant victory for the U.S. Treasury because it did not immediately destroy the world monetary system. This was the same philosophy which called Dunkirk a victory for Britain because half her army escaped annihilation. The two-tier price system is a monetary Dunkirk. It is an absolute confirmation of the disaster that threatens the life of the international monetary patient. Our leaders could have performed the needed surgery; instead, the money managers got out the needle and gave the patient a shot of adrenalin. When he sat up in bed, those who knew nothing of monetary medicine thought he was going to walk out of the hospital. He won't.

The two-priced gold system can't work. World confidence in paper currency, especially in the dollar, has been wrecked. This means that the price of gold will continue to be bid up above the control price of paper currencies. It will also mean the continuation of the flight of capital from America to Europe. This capital will reach the central banks; and, while the central banks have agreed to hold the paper dollars they now have at \$35 an ounce, they have not agreed to hold more paper dollars. Thus the central bankers, finding their dollar holdings growing, will cash them increasingly as claims on what little gold we have left.

Gold producers, of course, will not sell at \$35 as the world price of gold increases, and the world market will absorb all of the gold production so the central banks will continue to face the prospect of a growing decline in monetary stocks forcing a run on remaining American supplies at \$35 an ounce.

Of course, this process will not come about by attrition. Once those who may own gold become aware of what is happening they will rush the banks



and we will see another gold run that will far surpass the one recently concluded. Only this time our position is much weaker because of the massive hemorrhage of gold which we have already sustained.

In fact, the gold rush that was supposedly "quelled" on March sixteenth has most likely never even slowed. When gold was sold to the London gold pool we had some idea what was going on. What happened on March sixteenth amounted to a coverup, since claims on the U.S. Treasury by central banks are hardly public information. And, one recalls that only the group of nine nations which had formed the gold pool gave so much as a promise to use restraint in cashing dollars for gold. The other ninety-eight countries involved in the International Monetary Fund remained uncommitted—including France, which is committed to bringing down the dollar. We know, for example, of American dollars spent in Vietnam, flowing into a French bank in Saigon, where they are transferred to Paris, and undoubtedly conclude their excursion by returning to the United States in exchange for gold. The Treasury is resorting to every conceivable dodge to keep current figures from being made public.

According to Harry Schultz, one of the world's foremost international financial analysts, the situation is even worse than it appears since a substantial amount of America's remaining gold reserve is in the form of coin-melt from the Thirties, when our gold coins were called in by the Roosevelt Administration. This is only ninety percent gold, and the law requires that international exchanges be made in .999 fine. Schultz says:

*I don't yet have details on how much gold is in this coin-melt form, but with U.S. supplies so low any amount at all is ominous. . . . Small*

*wonder T-men dash around the globe making swap arrangements and trying to turn wallpaper into bullion. All in all it's a sorry mess and makes the U.S. into a have-not nation of the first rank, solely because of money mismanagement, economic non-know how, and third rate presidential advisors.*

Or maybe, Mr. Schultz, such men as Henry Fowler have known what they were doing all along. *Consistency*, after all, is hardly the mark of the "third rate."

Of course, an alarmed American public has been temporarily anesthetized by the April conference of the International Monetary Fund which tentatively approved a new kind of monetary magic called S.D.R.s (Special Drawing Rights). Our government and "Liberal" opinion makers are competing to show us how everything is going to be just dandy now because of the startling discovery that S.D.R.s are paper gold.

Mankind has been engaged in commerce for thousands of years, you see, but no one had yet *thought* of "paper gold." If they had, obviously, there would have been nothing but prosperity and wealth for centuries. Does it sound to you like a con game? If it doesn't, dear reader, please write me in care of AMERICAN OPINION—I know of a nice bridge over the East River you might be interested in buying at an amazingly reasonable price.

To the vast majority of Americans the S.D.R.s are just what they were designed to be—mysterious and impressive. They are, however, no more than fool's gold, the straw to which our drowning monetary system clings in the desperation before the deluge. We are told that gold is now obsolete, and that by expanding our new "asset"—paper gold—all the world's monetary problems will evaporate. A few weeks before admitting we face a disastrous fi-



nancial crisis, poor William McChesney Martin even gloated: "We have proved the dollar is stronger than gold." Now he knows the truth: The S.D.R.s are a myth and a mirage; they are a psychological ploy to buy time for Leftist politicians in Washington who hope to stave off the monetary crisis until after the elections.

What no one mentions is that it will take at least a year before the S.D.R. plan can even be approved by the Parliaments of the I.M.F. nations and begin to be tried. Even then the amount of "paper gold" which America can borrow from the International Monetary Fund is much less than our growing annual balance of payments deficit and—as you would have thought even a dolt would recognize—in the end the "paper gold" must be paid off with real gold! Gold we haven't got. The brilliant economist, Dr. F. A. Hayek, has noted of the Special Drawing Rights:

*In the short run people may be deceived by S.D.R.'s. They may regard them as a solution. But in the long run it will be found that S.D.R.s speed up inflation of currencies. S.D.R.s simply continue the inflation of the last twenty years. They will cause people to turn more to gold than before, as a store of value. Gold may become an international currency not sanctioned by anybody, but used by everyone.*

Already the Common Market countries have a store of \$20 billion in unmortgaged gold, while our gold supply—which has been drained by a full third in the last six months—is fully mortgaged five times. Challenging the paper gold inflationists of the United States and Great Britain is the European gold bloc. If the United States demonetizes gold, as we have threatened to do in the event of another gold rush, competition will result between our paper promises

and European gold. The battle will be one for the confidence of the world over two different types of money. We will lose that battle!

The Common Market has, beyond its \$20 billion in official gold reserves, an almost equal amount unofficially held by its citizens. The United States, on the other hand, has no such private re-



**President Johnson ignores crisis and pushes spending.**

serves of gold because earlier Administrations have made it a crime for American citizens to own gold. Thus, with the establishment of a Common Market franc to compete with our unbacked dollar, we will be driven from the world market. After all, which currency would you choose to accept? The result will be economic catastrophe beyond anything we have ever experienced. And, when that happens, the Communists will move.

Prices have risen in the U.S. for sixty consecutive months and are still moving upward at an explosive rate. Every day inflation continues to price American products out of the world market, and at the same time make imports more attractive. Government figures indicate that, in March, U.S. imports exceeded exports for the first time in five years, while exports declined by 11.5 percent—giving us a deficit of \$157.7 million. If this is being admitted, you can imagine the size of the real trade gap.

With government spending at an all time high this year, accompanied by exorbitant union demands, there is nothing on the horizon that would seem to reverse the trend. The Treasury Department admitted a balance of payments deficit for the first quarter of 1968 of \$600 million, hailing it as a victory because it was smaller than in the last



quarter of 1967. But, on an annualized basis of \$2.4 billion this amounts to the draining of more than a third of our remaining gold supply. What is more, the *New York Times* reports a survey showing that a complete shutdown in Vietnam would cut the U.S. balance of payments deficit by only forty percent. Sixty percent of the drain would remain, and some experts think it would be closer to seventy-five percent.

Ending the War in Vietnam would help our financial situation, but chances are that the Communists are not going to let us off the hook, especially since the bombing of the industry of North Vietnam has been stopped. Talks could drag on for years, just as in Korea. But, of course, the U.S. cannot stand the financial drain of a protracted war. The Vietnam War is costing America dearly and world Communism comparatively little—especially since the U.S. insists on financing both sides by extending credits to the arsenal of the Vietcong.

President Johnson's answer to this grave crisis is to raise taxes: "We must



Top economist  
Henry Hazlitt  
urges tax cuts.

tighten our belts; we must adopt an austere program." That is, austerity for us, not for the Leftist politicians buying votes with our dollars. The President screeched like a wounded jackal when the House cut \$6 billion from his bloated Budget. As economist Henry Hazlitt says: "Congress is being stampeded into a tax hike as a cure for the dollar crisis. Never has there been a more spurious issue."

Hazlitt goes on to point out that a tax hike will make the balance of payments problem worse instead of better. Increased taxes mean higher prices, more inflation, and therefore fewer ex-

ports; they mean more imports and a worsening of the balance of payment deficits. A tax increase will not halt inflation since government spending is just as inflationary as individual spending. Tax cuts are what help. What we need is not an increase in taxes, but a tax reduction\* to create more incentives, coupled with a drastic cut in government spending and an immediate devaluation of the dollar.

### III

WHY WON'T WE get that tax cut, the necessary drastic reduction in government spending, and the other measures required to preserve our economy from the coming chaos?

Ask the Far Left.

Just at the time when government spending needs to be cut drastically to stave off financial collapse, the President's Riot Commission has recommended a \$30 billion a year "Marshall Plan" for the American Negro, including a guaranteed annual income to relieve the indolent of the tiresome necessity of working for a living. And, the Poor People's March has now invaded Washington to live on federal Welfare cheques while it holds Congress for the ransom of a \$30 million a year payoff. Can you imagine trying to explain America's balance of payments problems to H. "Rap" Brown and the "Reverend" Ralph Abernathy?

Add to the contemporary blackmail the political impetus for expanding those socialist spending programs already operative and you begin to understand how the Far Left has escalated its attack on our dollar.

Since the Korean War our Leftists have established more than a hundred major federal agencies and programs.

\* President Kennedy proved that the government will receive more money if the tax rate is reduced. His problem was that he didn't apply the increased tax take to reduce the deficit, but used it to finance giveaway programs.



In each case the initial allocations have begun large and then been greatly expanded. For example, the Food for Peace program cost us \$121 million a year when it was set up in 1956, but now costs us \$1.7 billion a year; the Space and Aeronautics agency got \$89 million when it was begun in 1958, and is now up to \$4.8 billion for 1968; the Peace Corps began with \$11 million in 1962 and today costs us \$110 million a year; Urban Renewal started in 1956 with \$145 million and in 1968 will spend \$499 million; the "War on Poverty" began in 1963 with \$194 million, and this year will spend \$1.9 billion . . . and so on to the bankruptcy of the nation.

Of course, statistics alone cannot tell the complete story of what socialism is doing to America. One cannot, for example, statistically measure the attendant decline of morality and personal responsibility—national assets under attack which can hardly be calculated in percentiles or the centimeters of a line graph. While there is a possibility we could survive socialism for years, even decades, we can certainly not survive the consequences of what collectivism does to people—not as a free nation, a great nation. Look, after all, at what socialism has done to Great Britain, not long ago the mightiest of all modern empires.

There are of course some ways of guessing at the decline in morality brought on by the mounting socialist assault. There were in 1967, for instance, 192,000 bankruptcies, *triple the figure of ten years before*. While this is not entirely a measure of immorality, it must be noted that laws have been made so lax in this area as to invite citizens to run out on their debts.

More indicative of the moral decline brought on by collectivism is the fact that, with the government now increasing Welfare payments to the mothers of illegitimate children, one out of every twelve Americans is today born to an

unmarried woman—in spite of the birth-control pill. I am not unaware that many of my readers consider artificial contraception morally wrong; but, people who hold such convictions are not the mothers and fathers of illegitimate children. Illegitimacy has doubled at a time when contraceptives have become most efficient only because of willful bastardy *subsidized* by the government. *U.S. News & World Report* has noted that: "Today one out of four Negroes is born illegitimate. . . ." If the current trend continues, by 1975 one-third of all Negro children will be born to unmarried women—a great number of whom, alas, are simply breeding for profit. It's not a racial matter, of course; far too many white women are today signing up for the same subsidy.

It is no coincidence that as nations go socialist crime rises. Even Sweden, the collectivist darling of our "Liberals," has as it has moved Leftward experienced a rise in crime of ninety-seven percent in the past fifteen years. A complete Welfare State, we are told, would virtually eliminate crime. That is another myth—like the withering away of the State—proved preposterous by those nations who believed it enough to experiment. In Washington, D.C. — recently become the Welfare Utopia of the Western World—crime was up last year by 34.3 percent.

Our national crime rate has grown in direct proportion to "Liberal" control. The F.B.I. reports that, for the first nine months of 1967, crime in the United States was up sixteen percent over the same period last year. This means that crime has grown eighty-eight percent in just seven years, and — like Welfare spending—is increasing at an explosive rate. That sixteen percent increase recorded in 1967, incidentally, compares with an eleven percent rise reported in 1966; the increase in 1961 over 1960 was only three percent. Shoplifting alone is now costing American business \$2 bil-



lion a year, or double the amount taken in 1960. Retail stores report that thefts now equal three percent of sales.

Meanwhile, "Liberals" in our courts continue to tie the hands of law enforcement officers. In 1965, forty-eight percent of the Americans queried in the national opinion polls complained that the courts were too lenient; by 1968, the figure was up to sixty-three percent. Two percent, of course, say they believe the courts deal too harshly with criminals. This two percent must include our politicians, who refuse to require strict court action by appropriate legislation.

As always before where socialism fails—as it must always fail—to deliver the promised paradise, those who have been deceived by the impossible promises of demagogic politicians react violently. This, of course, is part of the Communists' regular plan of attack. The principle was well understood by the great French philosopher-statesman Frederic Bastiat. In 1849, two decades before Lenin was born and a year before the publishing of the *Communist Manifesto*, Bastiat warned the French National Assembly about the dangers of expanding the activities of the State and the consequences of the resultant destruction of individual responsibility:

*When the people are encouraged to turn to the government to settle all their problems for them, the basis for all revolutions is thereby established. For then the people expect the government to provide them with all of the material things they want. And when these things are not forthcoming, they resort to violence to get them. And why not—since the government itself has told them that these responsibilities belong to government rather than to them? I am convinced that a revolution would not be possible if the only relationship between government and the*

*people was to guarantee them their liberty and security.*

The French, of course, chose to ignore Bastiat's advice with the consequence that financial and physical chaos ensued and a Communist revolution took place in Paris during 1871 in which half the city was burned and more people were butchered in one week than had died in all France during the three years of the Terror of the French Revolution.

Of course, it couldn't happen here. Could it?

#### IV

WE ARE ALL only too aware that from the summer of 1967 through the spring of 1968 the Communists have shifted their street revolution into high gear in their increasingly successful efforts to force general insurrection in the United States—a product of the reaction to which Bastiat referred. The Communists' Black Power scoreboard for 1967 reads as follows: cities hit by violence: more than 120; property damage: upwards of \$270 million; killed: at least 118; injured: nearly 4,000; arrests: roughly 10,000; convictions: count your fingers and toes.

But, of course, the summer of 1967 was just a preliminary. In the week following Martin Luther King's assassination 168 American communities were hit by the insurrectionists; 43 Americans were killed; 3,500 were wounded, and property damage was estimated at \$40 million.

In his annual report, released January 5, 1968, F.B.I. Director J. Edgar Hoover declared that the Black Power movement is "tailor-made for the Communist Party in its efforts to stir up racial unrest in this country." Noting that the Communist Party has officially endorsed the Black Power movement, and that revolutionary fanatics Stokely Carmichael and Hubert "Rap" Brown



were growing increasingly prominent within the "Civil Rights" movement, Director Hoover said that the insurrectionists have "created a climate of



H. "Rap" Brown's  
S.N.C.C. revolutionaries  
get Cuban aid.

unrest that has come to mean to many Negroes the 'power' to riot, burn, loot, and kill."

There are still a number of charlatans working the non-violent pitch, attempting to squeeze yet more money from white "Liberals," but the momentum during the past year has clearly passed to those who openly advocate outright revolution. Congressman James Utt (R.-California) recently explained the duality of the Reds' tactic:

*The doctrine of "non-violence" is as phony as a three dollar bill and falls into the category of dialectical materialism. Lenin instructed his people to use non-violence in such a way as to provoke extreme violence which would disrupt government and society.*

Thus the American public has been given two false alternatives from which to choose: Brand X, the provocative "non-violence" promoted by the late Martin Luther King; and, Brand Y, the fiery destruction led by Stokely Carmichael. Both are arms—stages really—of the tactic of revolutionary escalation.

The technique being used by the Communists in America is similar to that employed so successfully by the Communists in Czechoslovakia, and revealed by Communist author Jan Kozak in *And Not a Shot is Fired*, his famous masterwork on Communist strategy. This technique, simply stated, requires a small minority of revolution-

aries at the bottom of society to create tremendous pressures (through demonstrations and riots) on the small minority of politicians and bureaucrats at the top of the government. The civil turmoil, promoted by well-trained agitators, is then used as an excuse by the revolutionary elite in government to legislate the country into Communism.

Two classic examples of the implementation of this technique in the United States are the Report of the President's Riot Commission and the carefully organized Poor People's March on Washington. The Communists hope to use both the Report and the March to force further collectivization of the country in preparation for an ultimate Communist takeover. Of course, this whole technique would be ludicrous if it were not given the aura of authenticity and credibility by our mass media which, by refusing to identify the tiny majority of Communists, Black Nationalists, and criminals promoting the insurrections in our cities, have given the public the false impression that the work of a relatively small revolutionary cadre is, in fact, the product of a mass movement among Negroes and the poor.

Congressman Thomas Abernethy (D.-Mississippi) has taken his fellow Congressmen to task for their role in the revolutionary drama. Abernethy told his colleagues:

*... You brought it upon all of us by playing racial politics. It has all been done right here in the City of Washington. Everytime you bid, the "Black Power" interests raise the price. You have laid every gift, grant, concession, lure and bait you can think of at their doorstep and at their feet. You have done so much of this you are just about out of something to hand them. You cannot think of anything else. You would if you could. They demand more and more*



*and they have told you they are going to burn this country down and you do not get it. . . . For years now, you have sown the wind and you have reaped the whirlwind and you know it.*

The Congressman is right, of course, except that Congress *will* think of other things to give to the psychotics of Black Power—things like a Guaranteed Annual Income and more Soul Dole to finance further revolution under various new schemes of the "War on Poverty."

Leading the Communists' Black Power assault on the United States is the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee. Wherever you find racial violence you will find S.N.C.C. Even such professional "Liberals" as columnists Evans and Novak have said that money from Cuba is flowing into S.N.C.C. and that "There is no longer any doubt that S.N.C.C. today is Fidel Castro's arm in the U.S." While the country is teeming with other Black Nationalist revolutionary groups—including R.A.M., Forum Sixty-Six, the Malcolm X Society, the Northern Student Movement, the Inner City Organizing Committee, US, the Five Percenters, The Afro-American Student Movement, Black Student Union, the Deacons for Defense and Justice, the various Black Panther Parties (and an assortment of teenage gangs and militants working through the numerous "poverty" programs), S.N.C.C. is the Red threat which lends cohesion and coordinates revolutionary efforts.

The Chairman Emeritus of S.N.C.C. is that personable and ever popular maniac, Stokely Carmichael. Stokely has what the "intellectuals" refer to as charisma. Don't ask what that is—just assume all "Liberals" have it. Last summer Carmichael was beset with a case of wanderlust and toured the Communist world. He began his trip in Havana, where he exchanged adulation with Fi-

del Castro and urged American Negroes to wage a Vietnam-style guerrilla war.

Carmichael even told a convention of Communists gathered at Havana that Negro guerrillas are being organized in the United States to "bring the collapse of capitalism and imperialism." Then he said: "There are no longer any isolated struggles. They are all correlated. . . . The only solution is armed struggle." He defined Black Power as a "union of the Negro population which is struggling to destroy the capitalist structure which is exploiting us."

Of the myriad deliberately inflammatory statements conceived or parroted by Stokely Carmichael, the statements about destroying capitalism are probably the most significant—and the most ignored by the media. That Stokely is a dedicated Leninist and a convinced Marxist is a matter of which he is very proud. One who is both a Marxist and a Leninist is, of course—by dictionary definition—a Communist.

Stokely Carmichael then, a Marxist-Leninist, is leading a revolutionary organization in a well-planned Communist effort to overthrow the United States Government. Comrades in Washington are handling the coming economic chaos while Stokely recruits revolutionaries for the street fighting.

Were the reams of evidence that the riots are organized not available, it would still be incredible that anyone could doubt from the very statements of Carmichael and S.N.C.C. that the more than three hundred serious riots which have taken place in this country during the past year were not organized and coordinated. Carmichael certainly makes no bones about it. He says: "The method of struggle for American Negroes is guerrilla warfare. The struggle is in the streets of America." Through his cohort, "Chairman Rap" Brown, Stokely controls a nationwide revolutionary organization. Peo-



ple with such limited intellectual capacity that they can't put this picture together are so incompetent as to constitute a danger to themselves.

As this is being written, Carmichael has taken a world tour of the Communist nations, declared intent to support the Vietcong killing American soldiers in the field, led a national campaign to promote draft evasion, threatened to burn the country to the ground, waved firearms in public calling for white blood, advocated the assassination of numerous citizens—including the President of the United States—and yet is still free to continue promoting revolution. When even quizzed about Stokely, Attorney General Ramsey Clark and his sheep in the Justice Department baa about "studying the situation." If advocating the overthrow of the United States Government by force is not enough—or threatening the life of the President, or inciting to riot, or conspiracy to commit the crimes of arson, theft, and even murder, or promoting draft evasion—they might look into Stokely Carmichael's *citizenship* (he was, after all, born in Trinidad) or, for that matter, his *income tax*! Last we heard, he had told his draft board to go to Hell. Why isn't he in jail for that?

Frankly, if Stokely Carmichael is not guilty of sedition, then the Communists have already destroyed our sedition laws. If Carmichael cannot be prosecuted for advocating draft-dodging, then the Communists have destroyed our laws against it. If he cannot be convicted for giving aid and comfort to the enemy, then there is no such thing as treason. Why is Stokely exempt? Somebody up there (in our Government) likes him—that's why. *There is no other rational explanation.*

Carmichael and his pyromaniac companion, Hubert "Rap" Brown, are fond of declaring: "Power comes out of the barrel of a gun." The phrase is not original with either Stokely or "Rap,"

of course, but is a quotation from their leader—Mao Tse-tung. The Black Nationalists have also adopted the Communists' clenched fist salute, Castroite



**Marxist-Leninist  
Stokely Carmichael  
forms own Vietcong.**

guerrilla methods, the Molotov cocktail, and such heroes as Mao, Stalin, Lenin, and "Che" Guevara. You see, the Black Power movement is part of an international Communist alliance based on color. The Revolutionaries don't even bother to deny it anymore. Writing in the November 1967 issue of *Esquire*, William Worthy, an associate of the Maoist Progressive Labor Party, and himself an ultra-militant Black Nationalist, explained:

"The white populace identifies it [Black Power] somewhat fearfully with the violence of Detroit, Newark, Milwaukee and Watts. But Negroes increasingly see Black Power as not confined to ghetto rebellions, but rather as a part of a general fight of the oppressed against the oppressor all over the world. The difference of views is important. Because whites see Black Power detached from its international context, they tend to view incidents of Negro draft refusal as merely a political draft evasion. If Negroes are right, then there are more significant motives behind the refusal to serve, namely identification with the 'enemy.'"

"Indeed, there's a wealth of evidence to suggest that what began as a domestic civil rights movement has turned of late into a global drive, at the center of which are all the now-allied struggles of the National Liberation Front [Vietcong] of South Vietnam and the American Negro.

"As seen by those who are part of it, or close to it, the emergence of Black



Power over the last few years is not just an American but an international development in which Fidel Castro and Ho chi Minh are figures of inspiration along with Stokely Carmichael and Floyd McKissick. . . . Carmichael's controversial visit to Cuba last summer is one of the few visible signs of the new international character of the American civil rights struggle. . . .

"On the home front, black nationalist groups are showing NLF [Vietcong] war films to ghetto residents. In May, at a Harlem anti-draft meeting of the Black United Action Front, one of the films was enthusiastically billed as 'Showing how the guerrillas are defeating American soldiers!'"

The Judas Goat of this operation, S.N.C.C., is of course an international organization. Its Director of International Affairs is James Forman, who in September 1967 told the audience at the New Politics Convention in Chicago:

*We are not Americans, we are Africans. . . . Those of us who have been trained to fight in Vietnam, for example, and do not want to fight and live in this country, may very soon have to form a Black International. . . .*

Forman recently assured a Black Power Rally at the Los Angeles Sports Arena that should anything happen to a black leader such as himself he had ordered: "The killing of fifty cops, the blowing up of five police stations, the killing of one Southern Governor, two Senators, and half a dozen Congressmen. . . . Triple that for "Rap" Brown. . . . For Huey Newton, the sky's the limit."

Huey Newton is the charming Black Panther who faces murder charges after shooting two police officers—killing one, and seriously wounding the other—when he was stopped on a routine traffic check in Oakland this spring.

The paramilitary Black Panthers for whom Newton is still "Defense Minister" are officially aligned with S.N.C.C. The Panthers have denounced the police as "racist pigs" and demand that Newton be freed without trial because he is "not being tried by a jury of his peers"—black revolutionaries. Along with S.N.C.C. and the Peace and Freedom Party,\* the Panthers claim Newton cannot be tried because "Blacks are not American citizens but colonial subjects of white Americans, and they are not subject to the Constitution and laws of the United States." The line, you see, is that American courts have no more jurisdiction over the revolutionaries than over any other autonomous foreign people.

The Black Panthers, who gained fame last year with their armed invasion of the California State Legislature, are chaired by Marxist Bobby Seale, who was until recently employed by the War on Poverty. Seale told a Los Angeles Sports Arena audience in March that "Power equals guns plus force," and that "Every black man must have a shotgun in his home. He can patrol the streets from his house so that if a cop stops a black man and brutalizes him on the street in the ghetto he can be sure that within moments he will be in the sights of half a dozen shotguns." Addressing this audience along with Seale were fellow Marxist revolutionaries Stokely Carmichael, Ron Karenga,† "Rap" Brown, and James Forman.

Also lecturing the Los Angeles Comrades was Castroite terrorist Reies Tijerina, under indictment for attempted

\* The Peace and Freedom Party is the California version of the New Politics movement and has qualified to appear on the ballot in California by signing up better than 100,000 members. The Peace and Freedom group is running Ben Dobbs, an officer of the Communist Party, U.S.A., for national office and may run Huey Newton (from jail) for Congress.

†Karenga's Molotov cocktail factory caught fire this spring and the fire department had to put out the blaze. Very embarrassing.



murder following his pocket revolution in New Mexico during June 1967. Tijerina has established an alliance between "brown and black" within the revolutionary movement. He too said he subscribes to the Communist theory of world revolution based on color, assuring the assembled revolutionaries: "... The last war on earth will be decided on the basis of color and race..." The King Tiger, as Tijerina is called, then demanded the elimination of the white man: "He's a spider we must stamp out. . . . We have been selected by the forces of nature to march together, stick together, fight together. And if we die, we die together." He concluded amid deafening applause.

Working with S.N.C.C. and the numerous other militant groups now organizing the Communists' "Black Liberation Army" is the Revolutionary Action Movement. A number of R.A.M. activists were arrested last year for planning the poisoning of city and police officials in Philadelphia. R.A.M. refers to its trainees as the "Black Guard," and its training manual says the "Black Guard" is the "forerunner of a Black Liberation army" to seize control of the United States. It speaks of the necessity of destroying not only "whitey" but also the "Toms" (law-abiding and conservative Negroes who do not cooperate with the revolutionaries).

The authority of R.A.M.'s revolutionary cadres is divided up among five-man block units, ten-man squads, twenty-



**Robert Williams**  
directs R.A.M. troops  
from Red China.

ty-member platoons, sixty-member sections, 120-member area brigades, City Councils of three hundred, County Councils of still greater size, State and

Regional Councils, a National Council, and an International "Soul Council" headed by "Brother Rob." The mysterious "Brother Rob" is Robert F. Williams, a Communist now in Peking who is wanted by the F.B.I. on charges of kidnaping but says he may soon return to this country to run for President of the United States.

Members of Williams' Black Guard are trained guerrilla fighters; trained, according to their manual, in karate, paramilitary tactics, and guerrilla strategy. They are given regular political orientation from Communist textbooks.

All of the Black Nationalist organizations now stress the importance of armed revolt. For example, Detroit has its Federation for Self-Determination, a Black Power brain trust set up by the "Reverend" Albert Cleage, a former Black Muslim and close confidant of the late Malcolm X. Like the Panthers, Cleage's organization has already established close ties with Stokely Carmichael and S.N.C.C.

Cleage has also created another militant group called Citywide Citizens Action Committee (C.C.A.C.). The Federal Bureau of Investigation has reported that C.C.A.C. is financed from Communist sources abroad. One of the top lieutenants in the C.C.A.C. outfit is Milton Henry, a Pontiac, Michigan, Councilman and graduate of Yale Law School who calls himself the leader of "Black Rebellion." According to an F.B.I. source, Milton Henry has used the C.C.A.C. to acquaint young Negroes with guerrilla warfare and the teachings of Ernesto "Che" Guevara.

The "Reverend" Cleage works closely with the "Reverend" James Bevel, one of the late Martin Luther King's top organizers in Chicago. A number of militant "Civil Rights" groups organized by Bevel during King's 1966 open housing marches have now been taken over by Cleage's lieutenants. Other young Marxist militants trained



by Albert Cleage in Black Nationalist techniques have been sent to Philadelphia and Washington, D.C., to prepare for the summer's "demonstrations."

In March, fifty Black Nationalist delegates and a hundred observers from all over the United States met in Detroit to establish the "Republic of New Africa." The delegates drafted a Declaration of Independence from the United States which, among other things, contains a renunciation of citizenship and calls for "Blacks who go into the streets, fight for freedom and fall into American hands" to be treated as prisoners of war. The proposed Declaration of Independence was combined with a draft of a Constitution for a separate Negro nation to be set up in five states in the South.

All of this is, of course, the identical program set forth by the Communist Party forty years ago and published by it in *Negroes In a Soviet America*. The authors of the current declaration propose to acquire Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, and Louisiana "through negotiations with the United States, through political activity and secession, or through a combination of these moves supported by appropriate military action."

One of the chief organizers of the Independence conference was Milton Henry. Councilman Henry and his brother Richard (who has changed his last name to Imari) are both leaders of the Malcolm X Society. Richard Henry has a security clearance from the Department of Defense and writes technical manuals for the U.S. Army's Tank-Automotive Command in suburban Warren, Michigan.

Such revolutionaries are now capitalizing upon the assassination of Martin Luther King by proclaiming that "non-violence is dead." As usual, the revolutionaries are aided by the mass media which has scrupulously avoided mentioning the very real possibility that

King was eliminated by the Communists on the theory that a dead martyr is a more powerful weapon than a live agitator.

No movement has ever used assassination so effectively and frequently as the International Communist Conspiracy—but wicked thoughts about the "mellowing" Comrades must never be permitted to enter the public mind. We are, after all, in an era of "legislation by assassination," as three key "Civil Rights" bills have been passed—following the killings of Viola Liuzzo, John Kennedy, and Martin Luther King.

Last July, the *Allen-Scott Report* noted that the F.B.I. file on King revealed that a chief fund raiser for the Communist Party was writing King's speeches. Since King's liquidation by the Comrades, political pressures have kept the contents of the F.B.I.'s file on him from being released, but it is known that the man referred to in the *Allen-Scott Report* is a New York attorney who is reported to control a tax-free foundation which has acquired tens of millions from similar foundations to bankroll the Comrades' Black Power revolution. A power struggle has ensued among the revolutionary leadership to see who is to get the bundle, and the competition is fierce.

From nearly every police source and every area come reports that militants are storing large quantities of weapons. This, of course, requires tremendous sums of money—much of which is coming from outside the country. There is growing evidence the Kremlin is flooding the United States with millions of dollars so that local Communists can buy the services of militants, teenagers, and criminals. Testifying behind closed doors before the House Appropriations Subcommittee which handles funds for the F.B.I., Director J. Edgar Hoover told the legislators that in recent years the Kremlin has pumped more than \$5 million into the United States. Another



high-placed government security official says that Hoover's estimate "is a very conservative one. . . . The Kremlin has sent that much money into the U.S. in



U.S. Attorney General  
Ramsey Clark  
says "baa" to law.

the past twelve months to ignite the present revolution."

Significantly, Chicago police reported to the F.B.I. that, in the post-King riots there, arrested insurrectionists were found to be carrying sizable amounts of cash. According to Chicago police, a total of \$85,000 was found on its King Riot prisoners. On an average, adults carried between \$400 and \$500 and juveniles somewhat more than \$100. Much of the money was in new U.S. twenty dollar bills. Although the story was carried in the *Chicago Tribune* on April ninth and again on April eleventh, it went ignored by the great majority of American newspapers.

"Liberals," of course, are busy justifying the recent uprising in 168 American cities by quoting from the President's Riot Commission Report which blamed the upheavals on "poverty" and "white racism," and exonerated looters, arsonists, and murderers from all blame. According to the Report, the wholesale arson, gunfire, and lootings were spontaneous, unorganized, undirected, and unprepared. The index of the complete text of the Report available on newsstands does not even contain the word *Communism*. The considerable testimony to the contrary by mayors, city councilmen, police chiefs, detectives, patrolmen, and others who presented hard evidence before the McClellan Permanent Investigating Subcommittee was completely dismissed by the Kerner Commission.

None of this is surprising since the

ideological makeup of the Commission was left-Leftist: Otto Kerner, I.W. Able, Roy Wilkins, John Lindsay, Senator Edward Brooke, Congressman James Corman, Governor Richard Hughes, and former Governor William Scranton. Not a pro-blue at the table. Even the Executive Director, David Ginsberg, was a member of the ultra-"Liberal" A.D.A. The Kerner Commission could hardly have been more biased toward the Left if it were composed entirely of members of S.N.C.C.

The object of creating this political Commission was twofold: First, to push President Johnson's social welfare program through Congress and, second, to attempt to anticipate the coming backlash when black militants exercise their license to revolt this summer.

A bill to implement the Commission's recommendations would cost about \$30 billion a year, and would amount to a massive "Marshall Plan" for American Negroes. Passage of such a bill would shake the nation's economic foundation to its core.

Again, one remembers Bastiat's declaration that collectivist governments incite their own revolutions through false promises. Negroes have had their expectations raised, and there is no chance of substantially implementing the Kerner recommendations at this time without precipitating international financial chaos and completely wrecking the American economy. The Communists are thus delighted at the prospect of large-scale recruiting among disillusioned Negroes whose frustration they expect to be able to turn to massive violence.

Of course, the insurrection in Detroit provided proof that the whole thesis of poverty causing riots is baloney. During the year preceding last summer's revolution in the Motor City, the government poured \$220 million in federal funds into Detroit. Since that didn't prevent the holocaust, how much do



you suppose it would take? Clearly, federal payoffs didn't work because poverty was not the cause of what happened. The *Wall Street Journal* quoted a Detroit police detective as saying: "A good number of the guys we pulled in for looting worked steady at Ford, Chrysler and General Motors over the past three or four years. They were making \$125 to \$150 a week and in some of the stores we saw looters driving off in new Cadillacs and Thunderbirds." In the Detroit suburb of Highland Park, police said 105 of the 111 looters arrested had jobs and late model cars. According to the *Detroit Free Press* of August 29, 1967:

*There was no relationship found between education and rioting. Rioters were to be found in about the same proportions across all income brackets. There was no pattern to directly link rioting and low income. Those with incomes under \$2,000 . . . showed no more tendency to riot than those earning \$10,000 and up.*

A survey conducted by the University of Michigan showed that median income in Detroit's riot area was \$6,200 a year. The proportion of those who own or are buying their own houses was forty percent. The percentage of households headed by males was 76.7 percent; and, forty-five percent of those heading these households had graduated from high school or college. All the above was, of course, ignored by the President's politically motivated Riot Commission.

There is no question that American Negroes are angry. The propaganda of exploitation and racism originated by the Communists and propagated by white "Liberals" has now been taken up by the Communists' Black Nationalists. They have led millions of Negroes to exaggerate their every itch, real or imagined, and ascribe every difficulty to

"white racism." Is it any wonder that so many have become neurotic on the subject of race when they hear virtually the same story from everyone from Walter Cronkite, Bobby Kennedy, and Stokely Carmichael to their local school teachers and the social workers? Why shouldn't they believe it's all true? And why shouldn't they be ripe for what the Black Nationalists are planning?

Okay. What are the Communists planning? The Comrades' strategy for Detroit was laid out very simply on December 6, 1967 by a "theologian" named Anthony Locricchio:

*A small group of Negroes, three or four in a car and perhaps 50 cars, will filter into the white suburbs when the word is given.*

*They'll be carrying guns.*

*Quickly, without warning, one white child in each suburb will be shot. And just as quickly as they came, the Negroes will move back to the inner city, hoping that white extremists will follow to seek revenge for the killing of their children. The bigness of next summer's riot will be determined by the extent to which these white extremists carry the fight to the Negro. Nothing's going to change it. It's all been planned in advance. (Macomb [Michigan] Daily).*

Locricchio should know. He has served as a "race-relations advisor" to Hubert Humphrey, George Romney, Detroit Mayor Jerome Cavanagh, and N.B.C. Television.

Of course, the point is that Negro revolutionaries cannot successfully wage wars in white neighborhoods for any length of time, for there they are easily identifiable and do not enjoy the anonymity of, say, the Asian Vietcong operating in an Asian Saigon. Therefore, they want to fight the battle in *Negro neighborhoods*, where they have the advantages of firing from roof tops and



from behind brick walls at easily identifiable targets in the streets. Revolution is a complicated business, and the Communists who are running this show have given it plenty of thought and study.

It is, alas, the blacks who have and will suffer most from these revolutionary activities. After all, it is their homes and neighborhoods that are being destroyed. What is more, most Negroes realize that the situation can only get worse when, in the face of massive organizational activities by Communist-led revolutionaries, the federal government takes a stand which encourages looting and insurrection. *U.S. News & World Report* described the "new policy" towards rioters which was implemented during the post-King riots:

*React with as little force as possible. Tolerate arson and looting rather than use force that might cause loss of life. Use force to "contain" violence rather than to suppress it. Accept, for the moment, large scale losses of property and ruination to individual businessmen. Hope that, in time, programs extending vast amounts of aid to Negroes will cause them to forsake violence.*

*This policy was worked out at high levels of the U.S. government—mainly by representatives of the White House and the Department of Justice headed by Attorney General Ramsey Clark.*

Certainly this attitude invites a summer of unbelievable pillage. One fully expects the federal government to set up emergency stands to provide coffee and doughnuts for looters weary from carting home the booty. Historically, of course, in the face of massive civil tragedy, the word has gone out that looters would be shot. Looters, therefore, did not loot. But today, when Mayor Richard Daley of Chicago advocates shoot-

ing looters and arsonists, our "Liberals" have a conniption and accuse him of advocating infanticide.

One remembers that the American West was made safe for decent citizens by men like Wyatt Earp and Bat Masterson who routed the lawless with justice backed up by force. Of course, that was before anyone ever heard of "police brutality." A modern day Wyatt Earp is Police Chief Walter Headly of Miami. When a wave of holdups and shootings in Miami's Negro neighborhoods reached a climax in three murders during the Christmas weekend, Chief Headly blamed the violence on young criminals "who have taken advantage of the civil rights campaign." He assigned extra men to street patrol, armed them with shotguns, added more police dogs, and warned that "when the looting starts, the shooting starts."

Of course, there were the usual moans and groans from "Liberals" sniveling about police brutality. Crime, however, is down in the area by sixty-six percent since the new policy was instituted. The primary beneficiaries are law-abiding Negro citizens.

A bully always exploits a weak adversary. Examples of Communist bullies exploiting the "Liberals" are legion. Communist-led student revolts, Communist-led Black Nationalist riots, and international Communist aggression will all be stopped cold in their tracks when they are met by courageous, resolute, and firm opposition. To do otherwise makes their victory certain and the destruction of America inevitable.

As the man said, "*This is it!*" This is a year of crisis. If the Communists have their way our economy will be in a shambles and our streets will again have been filled with blood by this time next year: What you do to make your friends aware of what is coming may help to stop it. Public awareness of the danger is the only way on God's earth to assure our survival as a free nation. ■ ■